	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	09 998,919 Examiner	Haillot et al.
	Sajous Wesner	26 18
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Sajous Wesner.	(3) Jevome Maille	F
(2) James Beckes	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5-23-06		
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)⊠ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u></u> No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1.		
Identification of prior art discussed: Hanva han		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) ⊠ was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
	•	
		A

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The inventor and the applicant's representative discuss the inventor and claim in light of the Hanraham reference and argue that the reference fails to Show a discontinuous parameterization texture may. Applicant's rep. proposes to amend the claims to include: "Wherein the parameterization of the object is discontinuous creating texture seams, as discussed in the original specification. The Examiner appears to behave that such proposed amendment may overcome the applied prior and subject to further review on a a formal response in filed.

WS.